




Ebook Directory
the best source of ebook

The book was found

The Republic Of Plato



Synopsis

Long regarded as the most accurate rendering of Plato's Republic that has yet been published, this widely acclaimed work is the first strictly literal translation of a timeless classic. In addition to the annotated text, there is also a rich and valuable essay, as well as indices, which will better enable the reader to approach the heart of Plato's intention. This new edition includes a new introduction by acclaimed critic Adam Kirsch, setting the work in its intellectual context for a new generation of readers.

Book Information

Paperback: 528 pages

Publisher: Basic Books; 3 edition (November 22, 2016)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0465094082

ISBN-13: 978-0465094080

Product Dimensions: 6.1 x 1.4 x 9.2 inches

Shipping Weight: 1.4 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 4.4 out of 5 stars 787 customer reviews

Best Sellers Rank: #2,205 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #6 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Philosophy > Political #8 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Philosophy > Greek & Roman #10 in Books > Textbooks > Humanities > Philosophy > History & Surveys

Customer Reviews

Allan Bloom, 1930-1992, was a professor of social thought at the University of Chicago. He was the author of many books, including the number one bestseller The Closing of the American Mind. Adam Kirsch is a poet and critic whose work appears regularly in the New York Review of Books, New Yorker, Tablet, and other publications. The author of three books of poems and several books of criticism and biography, Kirsch lives in New York City.

This is a review of Christopher Rowe's new (2012) translation of Plato's masterpiece, the Republic (ISBN 0141442433). It is not a review of Plato's Republic as such, but solely of the merits and demerits of Rowe's translation. I've never quite trusted Rowe as an exegete of Plato, as he's got too much of his own personal agenda intrude on his analysis. His joint book with Terry Penner on the Lysis, for instance, falls far short of giving us an unbiased, expansive, authoritative commentary on the dialogue, especially when compared to more sober competitors like Michael Bordt's in the

Göttingen Plato. But as a translator, Rowe has proven time and again that he's singularly scrupulous, and attentive to technical detail where it matters. His renderings of Plato's *Politicus* (Statesman) and Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics*, the latter published with Sarah Broadie, are probably the most authoritative around. The same can be said for this newest of his translational efforts. In general, translations of the *Republic* usually err on the side of either trying too heavily to recreate the literary qualities of the original, or miss out so much of that detail because they try to be super exact on technicalities, that in either case the English falls far short of giving us a good understanding of Plato's Greek. The solution, so far, is to read Plato's *Republic* with (at least) two translations side by side. For instance, on the literal I've found Desmond Lee's quite good, and on the literary, Tom Griffith's stands out. Among the older ones, Paul Shorey's is particularly good on the literary side. Others, like Cornford, Waterfield, or Grube (even when revised under Reeve) can be safely avoided, for having the translators' hobby horses intrude on and mar the main text. It's a bit hard to place Rowe on this spectrum from the literary to the literal, because he's consistently improved the situation on both sides of the spectrum - and I can think of no higher praise. For one, Rowe has certainly outdone the rest of the field by giving a more lively rendition of the flow of the dialogue, by paying more attention to the flow of the individual characters' speech. Although his translation follows the new Oxford Classical Text by Slings (2003), the punctuation is often Rowe's own and, I feel, often the superior choice. The dialogue becomes a lot more lively, and we get greater accuracy. At the same time, Rowe's translation comes with seven hundred footnotes, and these are meticulously researched and show him on top of the current scholarly game. His translation is probably the first to unequivocally get the tricky lines in 596a correct. Mistranslations of these lines have encouraged generations of interpreters to saddle Plato with the view that one can posit a (Platonic) Form for each general term, no matter how gerrymandered. That rendering is simply false, and Rowe's note explains why. (He credits David Sedley with the point, and while Sedley's arguments are a welcome addition to the literature on this point, I wish Rowe had also mentioned Burnyeat's, on p. 298 with 298n.4 in Gail Fine's anthology 'Plato 2'.) This increased accuracy also pervades a lot else in the translation, and I for one am grateful for it. Particularly the connecting particles, so important to the Greek flow of arguments, are given their due. At times, however, Rowe falls short. A Platonic dialogue proceeds, usually, with (alternating) dominant speakers eliciting agreement or disagreement on particular points from their interlocutors. A great deal of text, therefore, is taken up by Plato expressing how the interlocutors express themselves on that point. Not just a 'yes' or 'no' - or the occasional, 'I don't understand, please repeat the question/point' - is in order. STRENGTH of (dis)agreement is just as important, for the respective

next steps in an argument to go through. Plato's interlocutors signal their at times cautious dis/agreement on a point, with the occasional 'Perhaps...?' or the vehement 'In now way!'. The questions put to them, however, at times signal how strong the main speaker expects his dialogue partner to agree with him - with how many points just made, and how strongly. Thus at 479e5-6 we have the exchange 'ἢ οὐκ οὕτως ἔστιν;' - 'οὐκ ἔστιν ἢ.' Which means, 'Or is it not in (exactly) this way? - [No,] it is in exactly this way.' Which comes after five lines of contentious arguing. In Rowe, we get 'Right? - Right.' which is at once too casual and uncommittal. Other passages show similar lapses in attention to detail. Plato's discussion of artefacts in book X has plagued commentators forever, because it's unclear why or how Plato can correlate human artefacts to (allegedly) timeless Forms. While Rowe's notes are characteristically informative of what's going on in these passages, and warn readers of the potential inconsistencies on artefact Forms, his translation looks rather unsure, tendentious even. Plato's discussion of artefacts, especially of furnitures, centres on the term *σκευήματα*, which has a broad and a narrow meaning. On the narrow one, *σκευήματα* means furnishing, specifically 'equipment, attire, apparel' (LSJ s.v.). In Republic, book X, translators like Lee (1974) and Griffith (2000) render *σκευήματα* as *σκευήματα*, not as furnishing, but as furniture, given that Plato illustrates the term by the examples of a table and a couch. On the broader meaning, conveyed by the cognate adjective *τεχνητά*, the term conveys the entire class of things 'prepared by art, artificial' (LSV s.v.), and is opposed to natural things, things produced by and in nature (*φύσσει*), in Republic 510a and 515c. Plato's discussion moves from the narrow usage (in 596b1, b5) to the broader one (596c6). Traditionally, translators convey this by translating the first use as 'furniture' (e.g. Lee and Griffith) and then go to 'artifice'. Rowe, however, is less clear. He begins with the fully generic translation of *σκευήματα* as 'product(s)' for 596b, picking up the term from his equally tendentious translation of *μίμησις* in 595c8 as 'imitation' (brackets mine to indicate his additions), and at 596c Rowe changes gear to render *σκευήματα* as 'manufactured items'. No attendant note is given, and readers are left to wonder, as they have for generations, what explains this sudden change of pace. I'm not sure Rowe's approach is superior or inferior to Lee's and Griffith's, but it indicates to me abundantly that one can't rely on his translation without comparing it to others. I doubt he would disagree. At the

same time, his earlier efforts on *Statesman* and *Nicomachean Ethics* have, in my opinion, done just that - become so authoritative that one can reliably work on their basis alone. For those reasons, I'd heavily recommend customers interested in Plato's masterpiece to purchase Rowe's translation. It's clearly superior to many competitors out there. At the same time, Rowe will supplement, but not supplant, earlier efforts, particularly those of Lee and Griffith. As far as the publisher is concerned, Penguin can be congratulated for sponsoring a new translation so soon after revising Lee's twice in the past ten years, under the careful leadership of Melissa Lane and Rachana Kamtekar. At the same time, something is lost in the transition. I can't speak for Lane's, but Kamtekar's version of Lee offered helpful diagrams and illustrations in notes and appendices. Undergraduates, not to mention lay readers, find a lot of Plato's text hardgoing without the occasional image to explain how things 'hang together'. Plato's simile of the Line in book V, for one, is incredibly densely presented, as is the 'Spindle of Necessity' in Book VIII. Kamtekar's edition had helpful illustrations on such points, and retained Lee's wonderful introductions to sub-sections of the main text, which set the scene and pre-empted some of the more current misunderstandings that twentieth and twenty first century readers are prone to. This is now replaced by Rowe's own (3-page) synopsis of the dialogue, which is frankly a poor man's substitute for Lee. For reasons beyond me, Penguin decided to kill this material. Rowe's notes and appendices are entirely devoid of imagery. And, while we are at it, Rowe's reading list is, if anything, twice as short as Kamtekar's, and no longer comes into neatly categorized themes of the Republic. Writings on aesthetics had to suffer in particular. While I'm glad to see Verity Harte's and Myles Burnyeat's efforts recognized in this area, Alexander Nehamas' older - and equally good if not superior - offerings have been chopped off. The same is true for a great many other essays and books that, I feel, deserves mention to a first time audience coming to Plato. Rowe sees fit to mention Julia Annas' work on Plato. As I said in my *Review* of her 'Introduction', this reputation is frankly undeserved and compares very poorly against recent alternatives, most of them omitted by Rowe. In the end, then, the book is a mixed result of the very variety I've come to expect from Rowe. Top notch translation, but a tad tendentious when it comes to the work of other scholars. Still, I'm very happy with the purchase, and would recommend it warmly to others.

Some of the greatest philosophy on the soul, citizenship, and general dialectic conversation ever written in Western Civilization.

My first philosophical work, I enjoyed it even though the content was a bit dense and a little over my

head at times. The analogies get a bit repetitive but nothing too difficult. The amount of thought put into this work is astonishing, and even though it's not the most insightful book you will read today, it was extraordinary at the time as human thought about justice, the just state, government, marriage was in its initial stages. This was a stepping stone for me and should be for anyone else getting into philosophical context. I am satisfied with having learned about rational thought of man and the 'truth'.

The actual book itself is excellent - paper has a good weight, texture, and the cover is incredible. However, while reading I found many typos and incorrectly punctuated sections. I still really enjoyed *The Republic*. I would recommend everyone read through it at least once.

In my many decades of studying many subjects and philosophy, I tend to forget certain passages in some of the best philosophical books. In this case I have read many times *Crito* and *Apology*, however, I wished to recall a certain passage in *Phaedo*. The LOEB Classical Library books are excellent for the young and old, and especially the few of us who can still read and translate the Athenian - Ionian language from 25 centuries ago. The classic language has no equal in its precise but difficult syntax's structure which makes it perfect for expressing complex ideas in a few words. "To Lakoneein esti Philosophen" they used to say. which translates to: speak briefly as the Lakaedemonians and then you might be considered a Philosopher. (see how many more words have to be used to translate 4 words from then). What a crime it will be when this language is lost. So, I highly recommend these books even if one does not read classical Ionian language. The English translations are as precise as the literati from England can make it. Yes, they are not perfect for me and I am improving in certain portions for my sons and grandchildren.

High school level and good price.

4 Stars for Plato's curious work. I can't say I enjoyed reading it but it was illuminating to witness Socrates, the proto-fascist, at work. It's also curious to note that Plato/Socrates's ideal State was by no means a Republic; rather, it was a fascist dictatorship dressed up in lofty language.

An outstanding classic to revisit during this time of fewer moral guideposts and toxic political acrimony.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Republik und Demokratische Republic Kongo = Republic and Democratic Republic of the Congo =
Republique et la Republique democratique du Congo = Republica y la Republica Democratica del
Congo The Republic of Plato Beyond Good And Evil: FREE The Republic By Plato, 100%
Formatted, Illustrated - JBS Classics (100 Greatest Novels of All Time Book 78) The Republic of
Plato: Second Edition Averroes on Plato's "Republic" The Republic (text only) 2nd(Second) edition
by Plato,D. Lee, M. Lane Monarch Notes on Plato's the Republic and Phaedrus; Symposium;
Apology; Crito; and Other Works Plato: 'The Republic' (Cambridge Texts in the History of Political
Thought) Quisqueya la Bella: Dominican Republic in Historical and Cultural Perspective: Dominican
Republic in Historical and Cultural Perspective (Perspectives on Latin America and the Caribbean)
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC Country Studies: A brief, comprehensive study of Dominican Republic
Paper Republic: The Struggle for Money, Credit and Independence in the Republic of Texas
Prague: Prague Travel Guide: 101 Coolest Things to Do in Prague, Czech Republic (Prague Travel,
Travel to Prague, Travel Eastern Europe, Europe Travel, Backpacking Europe, Czech Republic
Travel) Czech Republic, Slovak Republic (Michelin National Maps) CZECH REPUBLIC Country
Studies: A brief, comprehensive study of Czech Republic Prague Travel Guide: Prague, Czech
Republic: Travel Guide Book – •A Comprehensive 5-Day Travel Guide to Prague, Czech
Republic & Unforgettable Czech Travel (Best Travel Guides to Europe Series Book 7) National
Geographic Traveler: Prague and the Czech Republic, 2nd Edition (National Geographic Traveler
Prague & the Czech Republic) AAA Germany, Austria & Switzerland Including Czech Republic,
Hungary, Poland, Slovak Republic, Liechtenstein Road Map Lonely Planet Czech & Slovak
Republic (Lonely Planet Prague & the Czech Republic) The Czech Republic and Slovakia: The
Complete Guide with Great City Walks and Country Drives (Fodor's Czech Republic and Slovakia)
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO Country Studies: A brief, comprehensive study of
Democratic Republic of the Congo

[Contact Us](#)

[DMCA](#)

[Privacy](#)

[FAQ & Help](#)